



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)

(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

Agency: Department of Labor and Industries

- ☒ **Preproposal Statement of Inquiry** was filed as WSR 04-13-131 ; or
☐ **Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice** was filed as WSR _____; or
☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).**

- ☒ **Original Notice**
☐ **Supplemental Notice to WSR**

☐ **Continuance of WSR** _____

Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioners and Physician Assistants

In response to SHB 1691 (chapter 65, Laws of 2004) this rule lays out the expanded duties that an advanced register nurse practitioner (ARNP) can now perform under Title 51 RCW. In response to SB 6356 (chapter 163, Laws of 2004) this rule also defines what a "simple industrial injury" is in order to explain when a physician assistant (PA) may have sole signature on the report of accident or physician's initial report.

Hearing location(s): Department of Labor and Industries
Auditorium
7273 Linderson Way, SW, Tumwater

Date: October 1, 2004 Time: 1:00 PM

Submit written comments to:

Name: Jami Lifka
Address: Office of the Medical Director, PO Box 44321
Olympia, WA 98504-4321
E-mail lifk235@lni.wa.gov
Fax (360) 902-6315 by (date) October 1, 2004; 5:00 PM PST

Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact

Office of Information and Assistance by September 15, 2004

TTY (360) 902-5797 or (360) 902-4941

Date of intended adoption: November 2, 2004
(Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The purpose of the proposal is to obtain public comment and to make permanent an emergency rule on this subject made effective July 1, 2004. It will make clear the expanded duties that ARNPs can perform under Title 51 RCW and will describe under what circumstances PAs may have sole signature on report of accidents for simple industrial injury claims. The ultimate result will be better access for injured workers and crime victims to health care providers

This proposal will amend WAC 296-14-400, WAC 296-20-01002, WAC 296-20-01501, WAC 296-20-06101, WAC 296-23-240 and create two new sections: WAC 296-20-01502 and WAC 296-23-241.

Reasons supporting proposal: This proposal is in response to legislative directives on these subjects.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 51.04.020, SHB 1691 (chapter 65, Laws of 2004) and SB 6356 (chapter 163, Laws of 2004)

Statute being implemented: SHB 1691 (chapter 65, Laws of 2004) and SB 6356 (chapter 163, Laws of 2004)

Is rule necessary because of a:

- Federal Law? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Federal Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No
State Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, CITATION:

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

WSR#04-17-093

DATE
August 17, 2004

NAME (type or print)
Paul Trause

SIGNATURE

TITLE
Director

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

N/A

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Department of Labor and Industries

☐ Private
☐ Public
☒ Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting..... Jami Lifka	7273 Linderson Way, SW Tumwater	360-902-4941
Implementation.... Gary Franklin, MD, MPH	Medical Director	360-902-5020
Enforcement..... Robert Malooly	Assistant Director for Insurance Services	360-902-4209

Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?

☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.

A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone () _____
fax () _____
e-mail _____

☒ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.

The proposed changes are intended only to make possible the administration of the statutes they are based upon, and do not carry any penalty not specifically dictated by those statutes. Per RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) the SBEIS requirement does not apply to "rules the content of which is explicitly and specifically dictated by statute." This proposed rule has little or no impact on business. There are no additional costs to business required by this rule.

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone () _____
fax () _____
e-mail _____

☒ No: Please explain: The proposed changes are intended only to make possible the administration of the statutes they are based upon, and do not carry any penalty not specifically dictated by those statutes. Per RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(v) the CBA Requirement does not apply to "rules the content of which is explicitly and specifically dictated by statute." This proposed rule has little or no impact on business. There are no additional costs to business required by this rule.